UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,326	01/21/2005	Nigel Haig McLisky	J-5002	3882
28165 S.C. JOHNSON	7590 11/09/200 V& SON, INC.	EXAMINER		
1525 HOWE STREET			CARTAGENA, MELVIN A	
RACINE, WI 53403-2236			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/516,326	MCLISKY, NIGEL HAIG			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melvin A. Cartagena	3754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 J</u>	luly 2009				
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-11 and 13-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-11 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5052009.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/516,326 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,417,258 to Privas in view of US 5,938,076 to Ganzeboom.

Privas shows a device for spraying fluid as seen in Figs. 22 and 28, having a replaceable or refillable container 100 with a detachable dispensing head 1; The dispensing head encloses valve means 6, a valve actuating solenoid 13 with a metal armature 12, a battery 102 (as a power source), electronic device 101 to control the opening and closing of the valve. A valve cover has a first part 144 with a hook and a second part 104 with indentation where reader 146 mounts, both parts lock and cooperate with respect to each other; the first part has the hook 107 that can engage the indentation portion defined by element 106 to lock the cover to the container.

Privas is silent about the material use to make the valve cover. Ganzeboom shows a dispenser with a solenoid valve, as seen in Fig. 3, with a metal cover ring. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Privas by including a metal cover to intensify the magnetic field that actuates the valve as taught by Ganzeboom, see column 4, lines 10-11.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,326 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed July 24, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, determining the structure, shape or size of a magnetic intensifier element is based on simple factors such as the locations of the magnetic coil with respect to the actuator or the voltage use to induce the magnetic field.

## Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,326 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (571) 272-4924.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30AM to 7:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. A. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 3754

/Kevin P. Shaver/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754